# Harding Primary School Monmouth-Roseville CUSD 238 Monmouth, ILLINOIS

**GRADES: 23** 



State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

Starting in 2009, charter school information is included in district statistics.

#### **STUDENTS**

RACIAL/E	ETHNIC E	BACKGR	OUND AND	OTHER	INFORMA	TION		-							
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American	Two or More Races	Percent Low- Income	Percent Limited- English- Proficient	Percent IEP	High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate	Total Enrollment
School	63.9	4.2	23.5	0.4	0.0	0.0	8.0	99.6	13.0	12.2		2.1	17.4	94.6	238
District	69.9	2.2	21.3	0.9	0.1	0.1	5.7	82.7	9.1	11.3		4.7	17.0	95.3	1,759
State	51.0	18.0	23.6	4.2	0.1	0.3	2.8	49.0	9.4	13.6		8.6	13.1	94.4	2,066,692

**Low-income** students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches. **IEP** Students are those students eligible to receive special education services.

**Limited-English-proficient** students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs. **Mobility rate** is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year. **Chronic truants** are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 9 or more of the last 180 school days.

Total Enrollment is based on Home School

### **INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING**

PARENTAL CONTACT*										
	Percent									
School	100.0									
District	99.0									
State	95.3									

STUDENT-TO-STAFF RATIOS											
Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator								
			-								
15.1	17.4	13.7	251.3								
18.9	18.8	13.7	205.0								

<sup>\*</sup> Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)												
Grades	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12		
School			19.0	19.8								
District			19.0	19.8								
State			21.5	22.0								

TIME DEVOTED TO TEACHING CORE SUBJECTS (Minutes Per Day)													
	Ma	thematic	cs	Science			English/Language Arts			Social Science			
Grades	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	
School	60			25			160			20			
District	60			25			160			20			
State	61			31			143			30			


2


TEACHER	INFORMATION	(Full-Time E	quivalents)								
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown	Male	Female	Total Number
District State	98.2 83.3	0.0 7.1	0.9 5.3	0.0 1.3	0.0 0.1	0.0 0.2	0.9 0.8	0.0 2.0	22.6 23.1	77.4 76.9	111 127,830

TEACHER	TEACHER INFORMATION ( Continued )												
	Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Master's & Above	% of Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers								
School				0.0	0.0								
District	15.5	71.5	25.3	0.0	0.0								
State	12.9	37.8	61.7	0.6	0.7								

Some teacher/administrator data are not collected at the school level.

### **SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES**





REVENUE BY SOURCE 2010-11											
	District	District %	State %								
Local Property Taxes	\$4,850,864	30.0	58.2								
Other Local Funding	\$438,521	2.7	5.1								
General State Aid	\$7,491,640	46.3	17.1								
Other State Funding	\$1,539,203	9.5	9.5								
Federal Funding	\$1,846,744	11.4	10.1								
TOTAL	\$16,166,972										

	District	District %	State %
Education	\$11,739,761	76.1	73.7
Operations & Maintenance	\$1,006,538	6.5	5.9
Transportation	\$879,514	5.7	3.8
Debt Service	\$286,382	1.9	7.4
Tort	\$459,138	3.0	1.2
Municipal Retirement/			
Social Security	\$463,971	3.0	2.0
Fire Prevention & Safety	\$589,703	3.8	0.8
Capital Projects	\$0	0.0	5.1
TOTAL	\$15,425,007		

OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS											
	2009 Equalized	2009 Total School	2010-11 Instructional	2010-11 Operating							
	Assessed Valuation	Tax Rate	Expenditure	Expenditure							
	per Pupil	per \$100	per Pupil	per Pupil							
District	\$66,866	4.40	\$4,714	\$8,111							
State	**	**	\$6,824	\$11,664							

<sup>\*\*</sup> Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.


Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.

Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

### **ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE**


#### **OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE**

These charts present the overall percentages of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the Illinois Learning Standards for your school, district, and the state. They respresent your school's performance in reading, mathematics, and science.



Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.


Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.



5

#### **ISAT PERFORMANCE**

These charts provide information on attainment of the Illinois Learning Standards. They show the percents of student scores meeting or exceeding Standards for the grades and subjects tested on ISAT.



#### **PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS**

Federal law requires that student achievement results for reading, mathematics, and science for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) is administered to students in grades 3 through 8. The Prairie State Achievement Examination (PSAE) is administered to students in grade 11. The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Reading and Mathematics are tested in grades 3 through 8 and 11. Science is tested in grades 4, 7, and 11.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

6

PERCE	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR READING														
			Ge	nder	Racial/Ethnic Background										
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific islander	American	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
School	*Enrollment	121	56	65	76	6	30	1	0	0	8	14	0	24	90
	Reading	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0					0.0		0.0	0.0
District	*Enrollment	865	425	440	603	21	178	7	0	0	56	46	0	114	589
	Reading	0.3	0.7	0.0	0.2	4.8	0.6				0.0	2.2		0.9	0.3
State	*Enrollment	1,072,304	548,690	523,352	547,900	192,977	251,440	45,188	977	3,177	29,968	75,031	261	146,113	531,157
State –	Reading	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.6	2.3	0.9	0.5

<sup>\*</sup> Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3 - 8 and 11.

Number of LEP Students who have attended schools in the U.S. for less than 12 months and are not assessed on the State's reading/language arts test: 0

PERCE	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR MATHEMATICS														
			Ge	nder	Racial/Ethnic Background										
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
School	*Enrollment	121	56	65	76	6	30	1	0	0	8	14	0	24	90
	Mathematics	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0					0.0		0.0	0.0
	*Enrollment	869	427	442	603	22	179	7	2	0	56	50	0	114	593
District	Mathematics	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.3	4.5	0.0				0.0	0.0		1.8	0.2
	*Enrollment	1,073,764	549,462	524,040	548,234	193,064	252,013	45,638	983	3,180	29,975	76,502	271	146,133	532,214
State	Mathematics	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	1.1	0.9	0.5

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3 - 8 and 11.

#### ILLINOIS STANDARDS ACHIEVEMENT TEST (ISAT)

The following tables show the percentages of student scores in each of four performance levels. These levels were established with the help of Illinois educators who teach the grade levels and learning areas tested. Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages in the four performance levels may not always equal 100.

- Level 1 -- Academic Warning Student work demonstrates limited knowledge and skills in the subject. Because of major gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills ineffectively.
- Level 2 -- Below Standards Student work demonstrates basic knowledge and skills in the subject. However, because of gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills in limited ways.
- Level 3 -- Meets Standards Student work demonstrates proficient knowledge and skills in the subject. Students effectively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems.
- Level 4 -- Exceeds Standards Student work demonstrates advanced knowledge and skills in the subject. Students creatively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems and evaluate the results.

### Grade 3

### Grade 3 - All

		Read	ding		Mathematics				
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
School District State	6.7 6.7 5.2	24.2 24.2 18.7	48.3 48.3 46.1	20.8 20.8 29.9	2.5 2.5 2.9	10.1 10.1 9.3	58.0 58.0 45.2	29.4 29.4 42.5	

Grade 3 - Gender

			Rea	ding	Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Male	School	10.7	28.6	41.1	19.6	3.6	12.5	57.1	26.8	
	District	10.7	28.6	41.1	19.6	3.6	12.5	57.1	26.8	
	State	6.4	20.8	46.1	26.7	3.2	9.3	44.0	43.5	
Female	School	3.1	20.3	54.7	21.9	1.6	7.9	58.7	31.7	
	District	3.1	20.3	54.7	21.9	1.6	7.9	58.7	31.7	
	State	3.9	16.6	46.2	33.3	2.6	9.4	46.6	41.4	

Grade 3 - Racial/Ethnic Background

	Racial/Ellillic		Rea	dina			Matha	matica	
	Laviala						Mather		
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White				40.4	05.0		40.0		
	School	7.9	21.1	46.1	25.0	2.7	12.0	52.0	33.3
	District	7.9	21.1	46.1	25.0	2.7	12.0	52.0	33.3
	State	2.5	11.7	45.9	39.9	1.1	4.6	39.2	55.0
Black	Cabaal								
	School								
	District		00.0	40.0	45.4	7.4	47.0	F0 0	04.0
	State	9.3	28.3	46.9	15.4	7.1	17.9	53.8	21.2
Hispanic			20.7	40.7	40.0	2.2	40.0	00.0	00.0
	School	6.7	36.7	46.7	10.0	3.3	10.0	63.3	23.3
	District	6.7	36.7	46.7	10.0	3.3	10.0	63.3	23.3
	State	8.3	28.0	47.6	16.1	3.8	13.8	54.7	27.7
Asian									
	School								
	District	0.0	7.4	20.7	F2.0	1.0	3.0	05.4	70.0
N. 41 11	State	2.0	7.4	36.7	53.9	1.0	3.0	25.1	70.8
	aiian/Pacific								
Islander	School								
	District								
	State	3.4	7.4	45.9	43.2	2.0	3.4	37.8	56.8
		0.1	7	10.0	10.2	2.0	0.1	01.0	00.0
American I	ndian School								
	District								
	State	3.9	24.8	45.1	26.2	2.0	12.3	51.0	34.8
Tour on Mi		0.0	27.0	70.1	20.2	2.0	12.0	01.0	07.0
Two or Moi	re Races School								
	District	2.7	45.0	40.7	24.0	0.0	7.0	44.0	40.4
	State	3.7	15.0	46.7	34.6	2.0	7.9	44.0	46.1

Grade 3 - Limited-English-Proficient

<u> </u>		Rea	ding		Mathematics				
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
School	7.1	64.3	28.6	0.0	7.1	21.4	57.1	14.3	
District	7.1	64.3	28.6	0.0	7.1	21.4	57.1	14.3	
State	12.3	38.7	43.0	6.1	5.4	18.7	58.3	17.6	

8

### Grade 3 - Students with Disabilities

			Rea	ding		Mathematics				
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
IEP	School	26.1	47.8	26.1	0.0	4.5	18.2	68.2	9.1	
	District	26.1	47.8	26.1	0.0	4.5	18.2	68.2	9.1	
	State	21.1	37.1	31.6	10.3	9.9	21.0	48.6	20.5	
Non-IEP	School	2.1	18.6	53.6	25.8	2.1	8.2	55.7	34.0	
	District	2.1	18.6	53.6	25.8	2.1	8.2	55.7	34.0	
	State	3.0	16.2	48.2	32.7	1.9	7.7	44.8	45.6	

Grade 3 - Economically Disadvantaged

		Rea	ding	Mathematics					
Levels	1	1 2 3 4			1	2	3	4	
Free/Reduced Price Lunch									
School	9.0	28.1	50.6	12.4	3.4	12.5	64.8	19.3	
District	9.0	28.1	50.6	12.4	3.4	12.5	64.8	19.3	
State	8.3	27.4	48.5	15.9	4.7	14.5	54.3	26.4	
Not Eligible									
School	0.0	12.9	41.9	45.2	0.0	3.2	38.7	58.1	
District	0.0	12.9	41.9	45.2	0.0	3.2	38.7	58.1	
State	1.7	9.1	43.5	45.7	0.9	3.5	35.1	60.5	

### 2012 ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) STATUS REPORT

Is this school making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?	No
Is this school making AYP in Reading?	No
Is this school making AYP in Mathematics?	Yes

Has this school been identified for School Improvement according to the AYP specifications of the federal No Child Left Behind Act?							
2012-13 Federal Improvement Status	Choice SES						
2012-13 State Improvement Status	Academic Early Warning Year 2						

9

		Percent Tested on State Tests			Percent Meeting/Exceeding Standards *							Other Indicators			
	Read	ding	Mathe	matics		Reading		N	Mathematic	s	Attenda	nce Rate	5-YEAR Graduation Rate		
	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	
State AYP Minimum Target	95.0		95.0		85.0			85.0			91.0		82.0		
All	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	70.4		No	86.9		Yes	94.6	Yes			
White Black Hispanic Asian Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander American Indian Two or More Races	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	71.6	74.8	Yes	84.8		Yes	94.6				
LEP Students with Disabilities Economically Disadvantaged	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	64.1	70.0	No	83.1		Yes	94.1				

Four Conditions Are Required For Making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP):

- 1. At least 95% tested in reading and mathematics for every student group. If the current year participation rate is less than 95%, this condition may be met if the average of the current and preceding year rates is at least 95%, or if the average of the current and two preceding years is at least 95%. Only actual participation rates are printed. If the participation rate printed is less than 95% and yet this school makes AYP, it means that the 95% condition was met by averaging.
- 2. At least 85% meeting/exceeding standards in reading and mathematics for every group. For any group with less than 85% meeting/exceeding standards, a 95% confidence interval was applied. Subgroups may meet this condition through Safe Harbor provisions. \*\*\*
- 3. At least 91% attendance rate for non-high schools and at least 82% graduation rate for high schools.

<sup>\*</sup> Includes only students enrolled as of 05/01/2011.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Safe Harbor Targets of 85% or above are not printed.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Subgroups with fewer than 45 students are not reported. Safe Harbor only applies to subgroups of 45 or more. In order for Safe Harbor to apply, a subgroup must decrease by 10% the percentage of scores that did not meet state standards from the previous year plus meet the other indicators (attendance rate for non-high schools and graduation rate for high schools) for the subgroup. For subgroups that do not meet their Safe Harbor Targets, a 75% confidence interval is applied. Safe Harbor allows schools an alternate method to meet subgroup minimum targets on achievement.

10

## 2012 DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY CLASSIFICATION

The Differentiated Accountability classification for the school is:	Comprehensive
Is this school making (AYP) in the "ALL" subgroup in reading?	No
Is this school making (AYP) in the "ALL" subgroup in math?	Yes

In 2008, the Illinois State Board of Education (ISBE) was one of 6 states to be chosen by the US Department of Education to participate on the Differentiated Accountability Pilot Program. The Differentiated Accountability classification **applies only to schools in federal improvement status that do not make AYP.** 

The classification is a descriptor (i.e., focused or comprehensive) that is added to a school's improvement status. Current Title I requirements do not change. The classification assists in distinguishing between schools that need focused supports verses more comprehensive interventions.

If a school does make AYP in ALL-student group in both reading and math, this school will be classified as a focused school; otherwise, the school will be identified as a comprehensive school.